ERDC/CRREL TR-07-17

Cold Regions Research and Engineering Laboratory

US Army Corps of Engineers®
Engineer Research and Development Center

Propellant Residues Deposition from Small Arms Munitions

Michael R. Walsh, Marianne E. Walsh, Susan R. Bigl, Nancy M. Perron, Dennis J. Lambert, and Alan D. Hewitt

September 2007

Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.

Cover: Firing the M2HB 12.7-mm (.50 cal) machine gun during tests at Camp Ethan Allen, Vermont. (Photo by Marianne Walsh, CRREL.)

ERDC/CRREL TR-07-17
September 2007

Propellant Residues Deposition from Small Arms Munitions

Michael R. Walsh, Marianne E. Walsh, Susan R. Bigl,
Nancy M. Perron, Dennis J. Lambert, and Alan D. Hewitt

Cold Regions Research and Engineering Laboratory
U.S. Army Engineer Research and Development Center
72 Lyme Road
Hanover, NH 03755-1290

Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.

Prepared for Strategic Environmental Research and Development Program (SERDP)
Arlington, Virginia 22203

Abstract: Military live-fire training missions utilize a variety of energetic materials that are never completely consumed during firing. In February 2007, the U.S. Army Cold Regions Research and Engineering Laboratory teamed with the Vermont National Guard at Camp Ethan Allen to conduct tests to determine the propellant residues deposition related to the firing of small arms. Samples were collected from the snow surface at the firing points for 5.56-, 7.62-, 9-, and 12.7-mm (0.50-cal.) weapons, as well as from areas up to 40 m downrange. Six tests were conducted utilizing five weapon systems. Samples were analyzed to derive an estimate of the mass of unreacted energetics deposited from each activity. The areas sampled at the 5.56-mm firing points contained 1.8 and 1.3 mg NG (1.1% and 0.80% original mass) per round, the 7.62-mm firing point contained 1.5 mg NG and 0.0018-mg DNT (0.56% and 0.048% original mass) per round, the 9-mm firing point contained 2.1-mg NG (5.4% original mass) per round, and the 12.7-mm firing points averaged 11 mg NG (0.73% original mass) per round. These results indicate that although consumption rates for this class of ammunition are high, accumulation of energetic residues should be considered for range sustainment programs.

DISCLAIMER: The contents of this report are not to be used for advertising, publication, or promotional purposes. Citation of trade names does not constitute an official endorsement or approval of the use of such commercial products. All product names and trademarks cited are the property of their respective owners. The findings of this report are not to be construed as an official Department of the Army position unless so designated by other authorized documents.

DESTROY THIS REPORT WHEN NO LONGER NEEDED. DO NOT RETURN IT TO THE ORIGINATOR.

Contents

Preface v

1 Introduction 1

2 Background 2

3 Field Tests 4

Field Site 4
Munitions 5
Tests 5
Sampling Method 7
Sample Processing and Analysis 12
Quality Control Procedures 15

4 Results 16

Background Samples 16
Firing Points 16

5 Discussion 22

6 Conclusions 25

References 26

Appendix A: Munitions Data 28

Appendix B: Sampling Data 29

Appendix C: Firing Point Test Analytical Results 33

Report Documentation Page 37

Figures and Tables

Figures

Figure 1. Looking downrange at Range 6.2, Camp Ethan Allen, VT 4
Figure 2. Firing the M16 5.56-mm automatic rifle at Range 6.2, Camp Ethan Allen, VT 6
Figure 3. Sampling the M2HB 12.7-mm machine gun firing point decision units at Range 6.5, Camp Ethan Allen, VT 8
Figure 4. Areas sampled for small arms propellant residues tests 9
Figure 5. Concentric circle sampling of firing position plume and OTP areas 11
Figure 6. Sampling from fixed lanes in firing position plume area 12

Tables

Table 1. Propellant constituents for munitions used during firing point tests 5
Table 2. Areas sampled for small-arms tests 7
Table 3. Sampled areas 16
Table 4. Analytical results and per-round calculations for small arms tests 17
Table 5. Summary of small arms firing point propellant residues test results 20
Table 6. Sampler variation test results 21
Table 7. Downrange estimates of small-arms propellant residues deposition 22
Table 8. Comparison of various firing point residues loads 23

Preface

This report was prepared by Michael R. Walsh, Engineering Resources Branch (ERB), U.S. Army Engineer Research and Development Center (ERDC), Cold Regions Research and Engineering Laboratory (CRREL), Hanover, New Hampshire; Marianne E. Walsh, Susan R. Bigl, Nancy M. Perron, and Alan D. Hewitt, Environmental Sciences Branch (ESB), CRREL; and Dennis J. Lambert, ERB, CRREL.

Fieldwork on active ranges is a difficult and complicated matter and requires the cooperation and assistance of many people. The authors thank the soldiers and officers of the Vermont National Guard for access to their ranges, help with ammunition coordination, and field assistance with the weapons, enabling the gathering of valuable samples upon which this research is based. They especially thank LTC Thomas Cahalan for his interest and support of their field work in Vermont. The authors also thank Nick Collins for setting up the initial contacts at Camp Ethan Allen, Vermont, as well as coordinating the transfer of ammunition. Internal manuscript review was provided by Dr. Clarence Grant and Dr. Thomas Jenkins. Editing was done by David Cate. Funding was provided by Dr. Thomas Jenkins through SERDP Project ER-1481.

This report was prepared under the general supervision of Thomas J. Tantillo, Chief, Engineering Resources Branch; Dr. Lance Hansen, Acting Deputy Director; and Dr. Robert E. Davis, Director, CRREL.

The Commander and Executive Director of the Engineer Research and Development Center is Colonel Richard B. Jenkins. The Director is Dr. James R. Houston.

1 Introduction

Military live-fire training missions utilize a variety of energetic materials. In the case of small arms, cartridges are issued with various types and configurations of propellants, depending on the type and age of the round. These energetic materials are never completely consumed during firing and have the potential to accumulate on military training ranges where they are used (Pennington et al. 2002, Hewitt et al. 2003, Jenkins et al. 2005). In February 2007, CRREL teamed with the Vermont National Guard to conduct two series of tests utilizing small arms. The objective of this field work was to determine mass loadings at firing points for the 5.56-mm automatic rifle and machine gun, the 7.62-mm machine gun, the 9-mm pistol, and the 12.7-mm (0.50-cal.) machine gun, all standard weapon systems of the U.S. Army and many foreign military inventories. The results of these live-fire tests will enable us to obtain controlled baseline data on a per-round basis for commonly used ammunition expended at firing ranges during training exercises. These data can be used by the military in general and range managers in particular in planning range use and maintenance while considering the environmental impact of this type of activity.

2 Background

The examination of firing points as a source of energetic residues is a recent thrust in range sustainability research. Studies funded by U.S. Army Alaska (Soil and Water Quality Monitoring Fund) at Fort Wainwright's Donnelly Training Area (DTA) starting in 2000 (Walsh et al. 2001) indicated that propellant-related energetic compounds were accumulating at heavily used indirect- and direct-fire firing points. Further research in 2001 and 2002 (Walsh et al. 2004) reinforced the original indications, with the propellant constituents nitroglycerin (NG) and 2,4-dinitrotoluene (DNT) recovered at several firing points. The State of Alaska lists DNT as a hazardous substance.

In 2002, SERDP funded research at Fort Richardson in Alaska to estimate residue deposition from the live-fire detonation of 105-mm and 81-mm high-explosive (Composition B) projectiles. Following the firing of the 105-mm howitzers, residues were collected from the snow-covered area in front of one of the guns. The results indicated concentrations of propellant residues much higher than found at the impact areas (Hewitt et al. 2003, Walsh et al. 2004, 2005b, 2007, Ramsey et al. in prep).

The ease of sample collection on snow and the processing of these samples led us to consider further work on winter firing point sampling as an adjunct to the impact area work we were then conducting for SERDP. The methodology for collecting samples on snow originally developed by Jenkins et al. (2000, 2002) was optimized by Walsh et al. (2005a), making sampling much more efficient and repeatable. Leveraging funding from SERDP, the Army Environmental Center (Dr. Bonnie Packer), and U.S. Army Alaska allowed us to sample active firing points and burn points for 120-mm mortars and the 155-mm howitzer to further this preliminary investigation (Walsh et al. 2005b, 2005c). Results from these tests demonstrated that firing points and burn points are areas of concern for range sustainability and maintenance.

The accumulated information led to the submission of a proposal to SERDP (ER-1481) to formally investigate military range firing points. In January 2006, tests were conducted in Alaska utilizing 60-mm and 81-mm mortars (Walsh et al. 2006). This completed a series of tests on energetics residues deposition on a per-round basis for various indirect-fire weapon systems. Residues accumulation data for several types of firing points have

also been reported by Jenkins et al. (2007). Our attention has now shifted to small arms ranges, where firing points are more defined, concentrated, and heavily used. This study examines the deposition rates for common small arms ammunition.

3 Field Tests

Field Site

The tests were conducted at Camp Ethan Allen (CEA), Jericho, Vermont. Two ranges were utilized. Range 6-2 is a small range sheltered on three sides by trees and berms, affording some protection from the winds that frequent the base (Fig. 1). The 5.56-, 7.62-, and 9-mm weapon systems were fired on this range. Range 6-5 is located in a large open area with a long, cleared downrange area. The 12.7-mm machine gun was fired on this range. The 6-5 range was a more difficult area to conduct tests as it is exposed to the wind, but it is the only available range at CEA for the large machine gun.

Figure 1. Looking downrange at Range 6.2, Camp Ethan Allen, VT.

During these tests, daytime temperatures ranged from –16°C to –7°C. Winds were variable at 0–4 m/s with partially overcast skies. Firing was conducted only when winds diminished below 1 m/s. The snow depth at the firing points ranged from 33 to 60 cm, with depths exceeding 120 cm downrange at Range 6.5. No precipitation accumulated during testing although some light drifting occurred, especially during the firing and sampling of the first 12.7-mm firing point.

Table 1. Propellant constituents for munitions used during firing point tests.

Weapon	Munition (Mil / DODIC)	Propellant	Constituent	Constituent load (mg/ % of total load)
M16 Automatic Rifle (5.56-mm)	M855 / A059 (Ball)	WC844	NG	164 (9.2%)†
M249 Machine Gun (5.56-mm)	M27 / A059 (Linked) M855 / A059 (Ball) M856 / A063 (Tracer)	 WC844 WC844	 NG NG	 189 (12.9%) 161.5 (12.6%)
M60 Machine Gun (7.62-mm)	M13 / A143 (Linked) M80 / A143 (Ball)	WC846	NG DNT	267 (10.2%)† 3.7 (0.14%)†
M9 Pistol (9-mm)	M882 / AA49 (Ball)	WPR289	NG*	39.5 (12.2%)
M2HB Machine Gun (12.7-mm / .50 Cal.)	M9 / A557(Linked) M33 / A552 (Ball) M17 / A571 (Tracer)	 WC860 WC857	 NG NG	 1478 (9.7%)† 1570 (11 %)

* Up to 1% DNT specified. None detected when raw propellant analyzed.

† Data from laboratory analyses. All others are range medians.

Refs: See Appendix A

Munitions

Five types of munitions were fired during our tests (Table 1). The 5.56-mm test munitions both utilized the M855 ball cartridge, and the tests run with the M249 Squad Automatic Weapon (SAW, a machine gun) utilized the M856 tracer as every fifth round. Both types of cartridges contained WC844 propellant (U.S. Army 1994). The constituent of interest was nitroglycerin (NG). One hundred rounds were fired with the M16 automatic rifle, and 200 rounds were fired with the SAW. The 7.62-mm machine gun fired 100 M80 ball rounds containing WC846 propellant. This propellant contains NG and up to 1% DNT as an artifact of the reworked propellant. For the 9-mm pistol tests, 100 M882 ball cartridges with WPR289 propellant were fired. The propellant contains NG and up to 1% DNT, although no DNT was detected in an analysis of the raw propellant. For the 12.7-mm machine gun, we fired 95 and 100 rounds of M9 linked ammunition containing four M33 ball rounds for every one M17 tracer round. The respective cartridge propellants were WC860 and WC857. The propellant constituent of interest for both rounds was NG. Grains of raw propellant for both charges were analyzed for NG and DNT as a check on the specifications given for each round, as we could find only constituent ranges for some of the munitions. Although nitrocellulose (NC) is the major constituent for all these propellants (67–78% of the total load), we did not analyze for it as it is not soluble and is not a constituent of concern.

In addition, there is no reliable method for analyzing for NC in environmental samples. Appendix A contains complete munitions data for these tests.

Tests

Our tests were conducted over the course of two separate deployments, the first on 9 February and the second on 23 February 2007. We were assisted during both series of tests by the Vermont National Guard, who scheduled ranges, provided the weapon systems and ammunition, and manned the guns for the firings.

Prior to the tests, background snow samples were collected at each range. Paths to firing points were packed out and meteorological conditions checked with a Nielsen-Kellerman Kestrel 3000. A single round was obtained prior to firing for the later analysis of the raw propellant to verify the propellant constituent loads.

The weapons were set up with a minimum of disturbance to the surrounding snow. Traffic around the firing points was kept to a minimum and restricted to established paths. The guns were elevated off the snow surface just high enough to minimize the surface effects of the muzzle blast (Fig. 2). This minimized wind velocity at the muzzle and reduced the dispersion of the non-ballistic material that comprised the plume. The minimum distance between firing positions was 10 m. The 9-mm pistol, 5.56-mm automatic rifle, and 7.62-mm machine gun were fired during the

Figure 2. Firing the M16 5.56-mm automatic rifle at Range 6.2, Camp Ethan Allen, VT.

first deployment in that order. Tests were conducted progressively upwind to avoid cross-contamination of the sampled areas. Two 12.7-mm and a 5.56-mm machine gun tests were conducted during the second deployment. Tests were conducted on different ranges.

For each test 100 rounds were fired, the exceptions being 200 rounds for the 5.56-mm machine gun and 95 for one of the 12.7-mm tests. The weapon system and any dunnage were returned to the staging area, and any cartridge cases that were lying on the surface were collected. One individual, common to all tests, then walked the outline of the visible plume. Downrange 2- × 6-m transect locations at 10, 20, 30, and 40 m from the firing point, depending on the test, were then measured out and the transects outlined. The areas sampled for each test are given in Table 2, listed in chronological order.

Table 2. Areas sampled for small-arms tests.

Test	Firing Position L × W (m)	Outside-the-Plume (OTP)	Transects
9-mm pistol	4.5 × 3.5	0.8-m Width	10, 20, & 30 m
5.56-mm automatic rifle	7.6 × 7	1.0-m Width	10, 20, & 30 m
5.56-mm machine gun	12.3 × 9.1	1.0-m Width	10, 20, & 30 m
7.62-mm machine gun	9.2 × 10	1.0-m Width	20, 30, & 40 m
12.7-mm machine gun	19.7 × 19.4	1.5-m Width	—
12.7-mm machine gun	20.1 × 15.7	1.5-m Width	20, 30, & 40 m

Sampling Method

Sampling was done on a fresh snow surface following the protocol established by Walsh et al. (2005a). Briefly, 25–90 increments (10 × 10 × 2 cm deep) of surface snow are collected to make up a single sample within an area (inside the demarcated plume, outside the plume, within transects, etc.) until the area is representatively sampled (Fig. 3). The increments for a given sample are collected in a single, clean polyethylene bag to make up a multi-increment (MI) sample. Triplicate MI sampling allowed us to test and compensate for uncertainty derived from the small total area collected from within each decision unit, typically less than 1 m^2.

To estimate the mass of energetic residues, we need to know the area over which the energetic material is deposited and the average concentration for that area. A critical assumption is that the plume represents the major area of deposition. The plume is composed of deflagration products, and its depositional pattern will be affected by wind. However, because there is no other way to estimate the area of deposition, we assume that most residues are deposited within the plume. This assumption was tested by taking multi-increment samples in concentric annuli around the outside of the plume (OTP). The objectives of OTP sampling are to ensure that the plume was adequately outlined and to determine how much, if any, of the unconsumed energetics are measurable outside of the plume. Samples were obtained for annuli at varying distances (0–0.8 to 0–1.5 m) surrounding the plume edge.

Figure 3. Sampling the M2HB 12.7-mm machine gun firing point decision units at Range 6.5, Camp Ethan Allen, VT.

The layouts of the various areas sampled during these tests are depicted in Figure 4. The maps are derived from data obtained with a Trimble GPS Pathfinder Pro XR system (±1 m) supplemented with hand measurements taken with a tape. On 9 February, two firing positions were set up for each munition tested (#), the upwind position being used for this study. One of

these firing points was used for a parallel study not reported here. On 23 February, only one firing point was set up for each test related to this study. Two tests were conducted for the 12.7-mm machine gun, only one of which measured residues downrange along fixed transects. Increments were collected with Teflon-lined aluminum scoops to obtain 10- × 10- × 2-cm-deep volumes of snow. The number of increments was loosely based on the area sampled, with larger areas having a proportionately greater number of increments. Data for the sampling are given in Appendix B.



a. Test sampling layout, Camp Ethan Allen, Range 6.2, 9 February 2007.

Figure 4. Areas sampled for small arms propellant residues tests.



b. Test sampling layout, 5.56-mm machine gun, Camp Ethan Allen, Range 6.2, 23 February 2007.



c. Test sampling layout, 12.7-mm machine gun, Camp Ethan Allen, Range 6.5, 23 February 2007.

Figure 4 (cont.). Areas sampled for small arms propellant residues tests.

Figure 5. Concentric circle sampling of firing position plume and OTP areas.

Sampling for the tests on 9 February was done on foot. After the firing position plume and the downrange transects were demarcated, multi-increment samples were taken from within each area. Increments for the firing position were taken by walking in concentric circles and sampling every set number of paces, based on the size of the area being sampled (Fig. 5). The goal was to obtain between 50 and 100 increments per area. OTP areas were demarcated and similarly sampled. The transects were sampled from the edges towards the center, with an additional sampling lane down the center. The goal for the transects was 40 increments.

Because of the deeper snow, sampling for the tests on 23 February was from snowshoes. The demarcation of the firing position plumes, OTP areas, and downrange transects was conducted in the same way as for the tests on the 9th, but the sampling design differed. Lanes were marked and packed for the firing position plume area, and samples were taken from these lanes, using the same spacing but different starting points for each replicate (Fig. 6). OTPs were sampled from the firing position perimeter as well as from a path 1 m out. Downrange transect sampling remained the same.

Figure 6. Sampling from fixed lanes in firing position plume area.

The firing positions were also sampled for each test. These samples were taken from the gunner's position and encompassed a 2-m-diameter area. These areas were highly disturbed from the activities associated with setting up the weapon, firing the weapon, dismantling the position, and cleaning up the spent links and brass prior to sampling. It was difficult to obtain a sample from these areas. Replicate sampling was conducted in all sampled areas except one transect and the firing points.

Sample Processing and Analysis

The multi-increment snow samples were trucked to Hanover for processing and analysis. Upon arrival, the samples were transferred from the field bags to clean bags, double-bagged, and placed in clean polyethylene tubs for thawing. Placing the samples in clean bags reduces the chances of cross-contamination from contact with adjoining bags and residues on the exterior of the sample bags. Double-bagging and the tubs were necessary because of the inclusion of debris such as plant stems collected with the snow samples. Plant stems can pierce the sample bags, allowing the thawed sample to leak.

Samples were shifted from warmer to cooler areas to prevent over-warming (>10°C) of the samples after melting. The order of processing was based on the weapon system (all samples from a weapon system were run before starting on the samples for a different weapon system), the area from which the samples were taken, and the completion of melting the samples in that group. The melted samples were filtered using a vacuum system to separate the soot fraction from the aqueous fraction. Filter papers (Whatman glass microfiber 90-mm ∅ grade GF/A) containing the soot are placed in clean amber jars, dried, and stored in a refrigerator at <5°C. For extraction, each sample was shaken with acetonitrile for 18 hours.

A 500-mL aliquot of the filtrate was pre-concentrated by passing it through a Waters Porpak RDX (Sep-Pak, 6-cm^3, 500-mg) solid-phase extraction cartridge and eluted with 5 mL of acetonitrile, resulting in a 100:1 concentration of the analytes (Walsh and Ranney 1998). The concentrate was split into two aliquots, 3.5 mL for analysis and 1.5 mL for archiving.

The acetonitrile extracts from the solid-phase extraction of the melted snow and of the solid residue on the filters were analyzed by either RP-HPLC-UV or GC-µECD, depending on the analyte concentration. Extract concentrations greater than 100 µg/L were determined following the general procedures of SW 846 Method 8330 [Nitroaromatics and Nitramines by High-Performance Liquid Chromatography (HPLC)] [U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) 1994]. Lower concentrations were determined using Method 8095 (Nitroaromatics and Nitramines by GC) (USEPA 2000), which uses an electron capture detector and provides detection limits near 1 µg/L for RDX and 20 µg/L for NG in solvent extracts. The advantage of the HPLC method is that the analytical error is very small, about 2% relative standard deviation (RSD) for replicate injections. Although the GC-µECD method can detect much lower concentrations, the analytical error is much greater, approaching 20% RSD.

Prior to HPLC analysis, 1.00 mL of each acetonitrile extract was mixed with 3.00 mL of reagent-grade water. Determinations were made on a modular system from Thermo Electron Corporation composed of a Finnigan SpectraSYSTEM Model P4000 pump, a Finnigan SpectraSYSTEM UV2000 dual wavelength UV/VS absorbance detector set at 210 and 254 nm (cell path 1 cm), and a Finnigan SpectraSYSTEM

AS300 autosampler. Samples were introduced with a 100-µL sample loop. Separations were achieved on a 15-cm × 3.9-mm (4-µm) NovaPak C8 column (Waters Chromatography Division, Milford, MA) at 28°C and eluted with 1.4 mL/min of 15:85 isopropanol/water (v/v). HPLC analyses that needed confirmation were run on the GC.

For GC analysis, the acetonitrile extracts were transferred to autosampler vials, which were then placed into an HP 7683 Series autosampler tray that was continuously refrigerated by circulating 0°C glycol/water through the trays. A 1-µL aliquot of each extract was directly injected into the HP 6890 purged packed inlet port (250°C) containing a deactivated Restek Uniliner. Primary separation was conducted on a 6-m × 0.53-mm-ID fused-silica column, with a 0.5-µm film thickness of 5% (phenyl) methylsiloxane (RTX-5 from Restek). The GC oven was temperature-programmed as follows: 100°C for 2 min, 10°C/min ramp to 250°C. The carrier gas was hydrogen at 0.85 psi inlet pressure. The µECD detector temperature was 280°C; the makeup gas was nitrogen at 60 mL/min. Extracts were also analyzed using an RTX-TNT2 confirmation column. Column dimensions were 6-m × 0.53-mm ID with a 1.5-µm film thickness. The GC oven was temperature-programmed as follows: 130°C for 1 min, 10°C/min ramp to 160°C, 30°C/min ramp to 270. The carrier gas was hydrogen at 1.6 psi inlet pressure. The µECD temperature was 310°C, and the makeup gas was nitrogen at 60 mL/min. All firing point samples were analyzed by HPLC. Those thought to contain DNT were analyzed by both HPLC and GC.

Calibration standards were prepared from analytical reference materials obtained from Restek Corporation (Bellefonte, PA). The analytical reference materials were 8095 Calibration Mix A (1 mg/mL) and a single-component solution of NG (1 mg/mL). A spike solution at 1000 µg/L was prepared from 8330 Calibration Mix 1 and the single-component solution of NG (1 mg/mL). Spiked water samples at 2 µg/L were prepared by mixing 1.00 mL of the spike solution with 499 mL of water. Following SPE, the extract target concentration was 200 µg/L for each analyte.

To calculate the mass of unreacted energetics deposited on the snow, we combined the estimated masses derived for the soot and aqueous fractions. For the aqueous fraction, we divided the average concentration of the extract (in µg/L) by 100. We then multiplied this value by the total volume of filtrate for the sample (in L), giving us the mass dissolved in the

meltwater from the snow (in µg). For the soot fraction, we multiplied the filter extract (in µg/L) by the volume of AcN used in the extraction process (in L), giving us the mass of residues on the filter (in µg). We then combined these mass values and divided by the area sampled, giving us a mass-per-unit-area estimate (in µg/m^2). Multiplying this value by the measured area of the decision unit (in m^2) gives us the final estimate for the residue mass for that sample (in µg) (Jenkins et al. 2002, Hewitt et al. 2003).

Quality Control Procedures

Quality control (QC) procedures were conducted both in the field and in the lab. Field QC, noted previously, included replicate sampling within the residue plumes and sampling outside the demarcated plumes. In the processing laboratory, blank samples consisting of filtered water (Millipore Milli-Q reagent water filtration system) were periodically run through a filter assembly and SPE setup for later analysis at the lab. This procedure was designed to determine whether cross-contamination from the sample filtering apparatus was occurring. Water fractions for several samples were divided into three aliquots and run through the SPE to determine whether recovery rates from the SPE procedure were consistent. SPE spikes and blanks were run to determine cartridge filter retention and recovery during the elution process. These processes are described in greater detail in Walsh et al. (2005c).

4 Results

Background Samples

The background samples collected from the FP areas prior to firing contained no detectable constituents of concern (NG and DNT), indicating clean test areas.

Firing Points

A total of 82 multi-increment samples, composed of 4,091 increments, were taken. The demarcated plume sizes ranged from under 16 m^2 for the 9-mm pistol to over 300 m^2 for the 12.7-mm machine gun (Table 3). The location of downrange transects was determined based on wind direction and the size of the plume. Larger plume size indicated greater downrange dispersal of residues, and if the wind was from uprange, transects were extended out to 40 m. Maps of the test areas derived from the GPS data are shown in Figure 4.

Table 3. Sampled areas (m^2).

Decision unit	9-mm Pistol	5.56-mm Rifle	5.56-mm MG	7.62-mm MG	12.7-mm MG	12.7-mm MG
Inner Plume	16	42	79	94	310	250
Outer Plume (OTP)*	14	27	35	38	100	92
Inner Plume + OTP*	30	69	110	130	410	340
Transect 10 m	9.4	15	21	—	—	—
Transect 20 m	11	15	20	10	–	10
Transect 30 m	20	10	19	10	—	18
Transect 40 m	—	—	—	10	—	16
Width of OTP (m)*	0.8	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.5	1.5

*OTP widths varied based on snow depth and ability to reach for samples.

Analytical data averaged for the replicates are given in Table 4. The OTP quantities are included in the calculations but do not contribute a significant amount to the totals. Two significant digits are used for the data in this table and throughout this report (where applicable). The samples were analyzed for a series of energetic compounds: TNT, TNB, 1,3-DNB, 2,4-DNT, 2,6-DNT, RDX, HMX, and NG. NG and 2,4-DNT were the only target analytes detected in the firing point samples. Only the 7.62-mm machine-gun test had detectable quantities of DNT in the residues. The

mass quantity was very small, less than 2 µg / round, and is not reported in the body of the table of analytical results.

Table 4. Analytical results and per-round calculations for small arms tests. (Results for NG only.)

Sample area	Data from samples			Per-round calculations		
	Aqueous mass (µg)	Soot mass (mg)	Total mass (mg)	Mass/round (mg)	Averages (mg)	SA/(SA+Plume) (%)
9-mm Pistol: 100 Rounds of M882 (DODIC AA49) Ammunition Consumed						
Plume	ND	5.4	5.4	1.7		
	ND	7.4	7.4	2.1		—
	0.12	8.3	8.3	2.6	2.1	
OTP: 0-3 m	0.0030	0.052	0.052	0.013		
	0.0021	0.030	0.030	0.009	0.011	0.52%
10-m Transect	ND	0.0022	0.0022	0.00040		
	ND	0.0037	0.0037	0.00070		
	ND	0.001	0.0010	0.00019	0.00043	0.020%
20-m Transect	ND	ND	ND	—		
	ND	ND	ND	—		
	ND	ND	ND	—		—
30-m Transect	ND	ND	ND	—		—
Firing Point 2	0.012	0.26	0.26	0.068		
Firing Point 1	0.0047	0.092	0.092	0.024	0.046	2.2%
Lab / QA Samples						
Blank (DI Water)			ND			
Lab Spike	0.95000		0.00095			
5.56-mm Rifle: 100 Rounds of M855 (DODIC A059) Ammunition Consumed						
Plume	0.01	3.0	3.0	1.8		
	0.01	2.8	2.8	1.7		
	0.01	2.9	2.9	1.8	1.7	—
OTP: 0-3 m	0.0010	0.183	0.184	0.07		
	0.0011	0.154	0.155	0.06	0.06	3.6%
10-m Transect	ND	0.020	0.020	0.0057		
	ND	0.018	0.018	0.0052		
	ND	0.018	0.018	0.0052	0.01	0.30%
20-m Transect	ND	ND	ND	—		
	ND	ND	ND	—		
	ND	ND	ND	—		—
30-m Transect	ND	ND	ND	—		
	ND	ND	ND	—		—
Firing Point 2	ND	0.0063	0.0063	0.001		
Firing Point 1	0.0024	0.67	0.67	0.1	0.1	5.9%
Lab / QA Samples						
Blank (DI Water)			ND			
Lab Spike	0.95		0.00095			

Sample area	Data from samples			Per-round calculations		
	Aqueous mass (µg)	Soot mass (mg)	Total mass (mg)	Mass/round (mg)	Averages (mg)	SA/(SA+Plume) (%)
5.56-mm MG: 200 Rounds of M27 Linked (DODIC A064) M855 / M856 Ammunition Consumed						
Plume	7.3	0.92	0.93	0.53		
	9.0	3.0	3.0	1.73		
	10	2.8	2.8	1.62	1.3	
OTP: 0-3 m	0.7	0.080	0.080	0.029		
	ND	0.077	0.077	0.026	0.03	2.1%
10-m Transect	ND	0.0068	0.0068	0.0019		
	ND	0.0055	0.0055	0.0019		
	ND	0.016	0.016	0.0058	0.0032	0.2%
20-m Transect	ND	0.001	0.0010	0.00030		
	ND	ND	ND	—		
	ND	ND	ND	—		
30-m Transect	ND	ND	ND	—		
	ND	ND	ND	—		
	ND	0.001	0.0012	0.0060		
Firing Point	ND	0.011	0.011	0.056	0.031	
Lab / QA Samples						
Background 1 & 2	ND	ND	ND			
Blank (DI Water)			ND			
Lab Spike	0.95		0.00095			
7.62-mm MG: 100 Rounds of M13 Linked (DODIC A143) M80 Ammunition Consumed						
Plume	0.00	1.0	1.0	1.1		
	0.00	1.5	1.5	1.8		
	0.00	1.2	1.2	1.6	1.5	
OTP: 0-3 m		0.015	0.015	0.010		
		0.016	0.016	0.010	0.010	0.6%
20-m Transect	ND	ND	ND	—		
	ND	ND	ND	—		
	ND	ND	ND	—		
30-m Transect	ND	ND	ND	—		
	ND	ND	ND	—		
	ND	ND	ND	—		
40-m Transect	ND	ND	ND	—		
	ND	ND	ND	—		
Firing Point 2	0.00058	0.060	0.061	0.0076		
Firing Point 1		0.013	0.013	0.0025	0.0051	
Lab / QA Samples						
Background 1 & 2	ND	ND	ND			
Blank (DI Water)			ND			
Lab Spike	0.95		0.00095			

Sample area	Data from samples			Per-round calculations		
	Aqueous mass (µg)	Soot mass (mg)	Total mass (mg)	Mass/round (mg)	Averages (mg)	SA/(SA+Plume) (%)
12.7-mm MG-1: 95 Rounds of M9 Linked (DODIC A557) M33/M17 Ammunition Consumed						
Plume	11	1.4	1.4	5.5		
	15	2.0	2.0	7.8		
	13	2.7	2.7	10	7.8	
OTP: 0-3 m	ND	0.081	0.081	0.10		
	ND	0.041	0.041	0.056	0.08	1.0%
Firing Point		0.0024	0.0024	0.00042	0.00042	
Lab / QA Samples						
Background 1	ND	ND	ND			
Background 2	ND	ND	ND			
Blank (DI Water)			ND			
Lab Spike	0.95		0.00095			
12.7-mm MG-2: 100 Rounds of M9 Linked (DODIC A557) M33/M17 Ammunition Consumed						
Plume	11	3.4	3.4	11		
	14	3.2	3.2	10		
	17	6.4	6.4	20	14	
OTP: 0-3 m	ND	0.021	0.021	0.025		
	ND	0.044	0.044	0.051	0.04	0.3%
20-m Transect	ND	0.017	0.017	0.0050		
	ND	0.012	0.012	0.0037		
	ND	0.012	0.012	0.0039	0.0042	0.03%
30-m Transect	ND	0.006	0.0056	0.0032		
	ND	0.003	0.0033	0.0019		
	ND	0.004	0.0040	0.0023	0.0024	0.02%
40-m Transect	ND	0.001	0.0008	0.00035		
	ND	0.002	0.0015	0.00059		
	ND	0.001	0.0010	0.00032	0.00042	0.003%
Firing Point	ND	ND	ND	—		
Lab / QA Samples						
Blank (DI Water)			0.000			
Lab Spike	0.95		0.00095			

Notes

ND denotes non-detect on all analyses. Presence is below detection limits.

Data from samples is for sampled area only and is not extrapolated over the complete area sampled.

Per round calculations take the full area sampled into consideration.

DNT found only in 7.62-mm plume residues. Values were 1.5, 1.9, and 2.0 µg/round for the plume replicates.

The OTP sample results indicate that the demarcated plumes were adequately sized to encompass the major area of propellant residues deposition. The NG residues recovered from the OTPs averaged 1.35% (0.3–3.6%) of the combined residues of the plume plus the OTP. To test this

conclusion, we lumped the OTPs with the plumes. The adjusted total residue (to two significant digits) is affected slightly by two OTP samples, the 5.56-mm rifle (1.7 vs. 1.8 mg/round) and one of the 12.7-mm machine guns (7.9 vs. 8.0 mg/round). These are the values reported in the summary. The downrange transects contained only small quantities of NG, less than 0.5% of that found in the plumes. Most of these residues were found in the transect closest to the plume. Of these, the average quantity compared to the plume was 0.14%. Downrange deposition extent will be discussed further in the next section.

The relative standard deviations (RSD) for the triplicate plume samples averaged 33% (3–49%), the RSD for the triplicate transect samples averaged 34% (6–59%), and the relative percent differences (RPD) for the duplicate OTP samples averaged 37% (4–68%).

In summary (Table 5), NG residues per round varied from an average of 1.3 mg per round (5.56-mm MG) to 11 mg per round (12.7-mm MG). On a percentage basis, the 9-mm pistol produced the highest percent residues (5.44% of the original NG load) and the 7.62-mm MG the lowest (0.56%). Consequently, consumption efficiencies for NG range from 94.56% to 99.44%, averaging 98.3%.

Table 5. Summary of small arms firing point propellant residues test results.

Weapon system	Munition designation (Mil / DODIC)	Reported constituent	Post-firing residue per round (mg)	Post-firing residue per round (%)	Constituent consumption efficiency (%)
M9 / 9-mm Pistol - 125-mm barrel length	M882 / AA49	NG	2.1	5.44	94.56
M16 / 5.56-mm Automatic Rifle - 508-mm barrel length	M855 / A059	NG	1.8	1.10	98.90
M249 / 5.56-mm Squad Automatic Weapon (Machine Gun) - 465-mm barrel length	M855 / A059	NG	1.3	0.79	99.31
	M856 / A063	NG	1.3	0.79	99.31
M60 / 7.62-mm Machine Gun - 560-mm barrel	M80 / A143	NG	1.5	0.56	99.44
		2,4-DNT	0.0018	0.048	99.95
M2 HB / 0.50 cal. Heavy Machine Gun - 1140-mm barrel length (Average of both tests)	M33 / A552	NG	11	0.73	99.27
	M17 / A571	NG	11	0.73	99.27

In our ongoing effort to examine the possible sources of error in our field sampling method, we conducted some tests to determine how consistent each sampler was in obtaining their sample. At the end of sampling, we

computed the statistics for the amount of liquid water from the snow each sampler obtained per sample increment. The results are presented in Table 6. The results for 1a, 2a, and 3 are for 9 February, while those for 1b, 2b, and 4 are for 23 February. The liquid water content differed substantially between the two tests due to the snow morphology. The snow on the 6.5 range is denser because of exposure to the wind and sun. The means and medians are quite close, with RSDs averaging around 12%.

Table 6. Sampler variation test results.

Sampler	Number of samples	(mL water / sample increment)			Relative std. deviation (%)
		Mean	Median	Range	
1a	11	15	15	5.0	11
2a	20	21	22	5.3	9
3	14	14	13	5.1	11
1b	11	33	35	13	14
2b	15	40	40	16	9
4	14	38	36	19	17

What is indicated in our limited study is that different samplers may obtain different quantities of the sampled material (in our case, snow) from a plume, but the difference may not be significant. We are not sure if the differences between samples (range) are a function of depth of sampling (surface area sampled remains constant) or area (dragging the scoop through the snow, thus sampling more of the surface). Samplers 1, 2, and 3 are experienced samplers (in that order), while sampler 4 was a novice. Experience pays off with consistency, but even the novice did well.

5 Discussion

Testing out of doors always presents challenges. In our case, wind was the confounding factor. At the time of the tests, mild winds (<4 m/s) were blowing either across the line of fire or quartering from behind. We attempted to check fire when the wind kicked up but were not always successful. For this reason, we designated larger residue plumes than we normally would have. Transect and OTP results indicate that the plume designations are reasonable, with small amounts of residues found in both areas. Still, we feel that replication would have been better had there been no wind moving the surface snow around. Although we consider an RSD of less than 50% acceptable (ours averaged below 40%), we would have preferred that all the replicate groups had had an RSD below 50%. That said, we feel that our results are a good estimate of propellant residues deposition rates for small-arms munitions.

The area of deposition associated for each weapon system can be estimated from the data obtained for these tests. In Table 3, the total area for each sampled area is given, and in Figure 4, scaled maps of the firing point sampling configurations are illustrated. Data from Table 5 can be used to determine the extent of the residues deposition, from the plume, through the OTP area, and out across the transects. The data for our tests are summarized in Table 7. When sampling to obtain a residue accumulation estimation, these are likely minimum distances from the firing positions that need to be sampled, and they will need to be adjusted according to the prevailing wind direction. The sampling width will be half the distance to the adjoining lane on either side, as most small-arms firing ranges are set up with closely spaced lanes.

Table 7. Downrange estimates of small-arms propellant residues deposition.

Weapon system	Propellant constituent	Major (>99%) deposition: downrange (m)	Detectable deposition: downrange (m)
5.56-mm Rifle	NG	8.6	10
5.56-mm MG	NG	13	20
7.62-mm MG	NG	9.2	10
	DNT	9.2	9.2
9-mm Pistol	NG	4.5	4.5
12.7-mm MG	NG	20	40

So how do propellant residues from firing small arms stack up to the big guns? Table 8 summarizes the work cited previously that we have done with mortars and howitzers and compares it to the more recent small-arms results. The results are generalized to the propellant constituents of concern, mainly NG and DNT. Although the mass of residues per round is generally higher for the larger caliber munitions, their consumption efficiencies are much higher. Interestingly, the firing efficiencies of the mortar rounds we tested are generally less than those for the small arms. In this case, size is not the dominant factor. Deflagration pressure and time-in-barrel, related to barrel length, may be more important factors for burn efficiency.

Table 8. Comparison of various firing point residues loads.

Weapon system	Propellant	Constituents	Load/ rnd (g)	Residues/ round (mg)	Residues/ load (%)
Howitzers					
105-mm	M1-I & II	DNT	42	34	8×10^{-2}
155-mm	M1	DNT	275	1.2	5×10^{-4}
Mortars					
81-mm	M9	NG	30	1,000	3.5
120-mm	M45	NG	26	350	1.4
Small Arms					
5.56-mm Rifle	WC844	NG	0.164	1.8	1.10
5.56-mm MG*	WC844	NG	0.163	1.3	0.79
7.62-mm MG	WC846	NG, DNT	0.271	1.5	0.56
9-mm Pistol	WPR289	NG	0.040	2.1	5.44
12.7-mm MG*	WC860 & WC857	NG	1.496	11.	0.73

* Averages loads and residues from ball and tracer rounds in linked ammunition.

What do these results mean for the range manager? Small-arms ammunition, with the exception of that for the 9-mm pistol, tends to be efficient in its consumption of the propellant constituents of concern. However, two factors will offset this advantage: Small arms ranges tend to be very structured, and a large number of rounds are fired from these fixed locations. This means that there is a legitimate concern over the accumulation of constituents such as nitroglycerin at firing points.

The variability of propellant loads for a given munition family can be quite large. Army Technical Manual TM 43-0001-27 (1994) lists 17 types of 12.7-

mm cartridges, not counting blanks and plastic rounds. There is no "standard" propellant or load across all cartridges. Many cartridges have alternative propellant types and loads, making it very difficult to actually know what you have in your hand. It was only through a laborious learning process and verification through laboratory analyses that we were able to determine exactly what was fired. Even the lot specification sheets do not match the analysis data. It is imperative, therefore, to obtain as much information on the munitions being tested as possible, including DODIC, NSN, and lot numbers, and verify the information obtained using these numbers and the available databases with analysis of the raw propellant from each type of round tested, including both ball and tracer rounds where applicable.

6 Conclusions

A series of firing point tests were conducted on energetics associated with firing of military small arms. Firing points at two snow-covered ranges at Camp Ethan Allen were utilized on two dates in February 2007. Samples were taken from several areas associated with each test and analyzed for unburned explosives residues. Results indicate that the residue masses are small but significant, ranging from 1.3 mg/round (NG) to 11 mg/round (NG). Propellant consumption efficiency, illustrated by the percent of unburned energetics compared to the original constituent load, ranges from 0.56% to 5.4%. Smaller-caliber weapon systems tend to be less efficient than larger systems, and machine guns are slightly more efficient than non-fully-automatic weapons. Although residues per round are low, concentrated firing of a great quantity of rounds, typical on small-arms training ranges, will result in the deposition of a significant mass of propellant residues in a small (16–300 m^2) area. This study reinforces once again the importance of maintaining firing points to avoid their becoming a source of energetic residues on ranges.

These results are estimates of unreacted residues from activities associated with the live-fire of small-arms munitions. They are indicators of possible residue masses that will result from such activities. Some values, especially for the transects, are at or near detection limits for the analytical instrumentation and are difficult to interpret. It is important to keep in mind that there is much variability between range activities and some variability between rounds and that these results should be considered a general estimate.

References

Hewitt, A.D., T.F. Jenkins, T.A. Ranney, J.A. Stark, M.E. Walsh, S. Taylor, M.R. Walsh, D.J. Lambert, N.M. Perron, N.H. Collins, and R. Kern. 2003. *Estimates for explosives residues from the detonation of army munitions*. ERDC/CRREL TR-03-16. Hanover, NH: U.S. Army Engineer Research and Development Center, Cold Regions Research and Engineering Laboratory.

Jenkins, T.J., T.A. Ranney, P.H. Miyares, N.H. Collins, and A.D. Hewitt. 2000. *Use of surface snow sampling to estimate the quantity of explosives residues resulting from land mine detonations*. ERDC/CRREL TR-00-12. Hanover, NH: U.S. Army Engineer Research and Development Center, Cold Regions Research and Engineering Laboratory.

Jenkins, T.F., M.E. Walsh, P.H. Miyares, A.D. Hewitt, N.H. Collins, and T.A. Ranney. 2002. Use of snow-covered ranges to estimate explosives residues from high-order detonations of army munitions. *Thermochimica Acta* 384: 173–185.

Jenkins, T.F., A.D. Hewitt, M.E. Walsh, T.A. Ranney, C.A. Ramsey, C.L. Grant, and K.L. Bjella. 2005. Representative sampling for energetic compounds at military training ranges. *Environmental Forensics* 6: 25–55.

Jenkins, T.F., A.D. Hewitt, S.R. Bigl, S. Taylor, D.J. Lambert, D.K. MacMillan, J.L. Clausen, and N.M. Perron. 2007. *Sampling of various types of firing point areas for propellant residues at several military reservations*. Chapter 3 in T.F. Jenkins et al.. *Characterization and fate of gun and rocket propellant residues on testing and training ranges: Interim Report 1*. ERDC TR-07-1. Vicksburg, MS: U.S. Army Engineer Research and Development Center.

Pennington, J.C., T.F. Jenkins, G. Ampleman, S. Thiboutot, J.M. Brannon, J. Lynch, T.A. Ranney, J.A. Stark, M.E. Walsh, J. Lewis, C.A. Hayes, J.E. Mirecki, A.D. Hewitt, N. Perron, D. Lambert, J. Clausen, and J.J. Delfino. 2002. *Distribution and fate of energetics on DoD test and training ranges: Interim Report 2*. ERDC TR-02-8. Vicksburg, MS: U.S. Army Engineer Research and Development Center.

Ramsey, C.A., S. Taylor, and M.E. Walsh (in prep.) An examination of the processing of propellant residues from 105-mm howitzers.

U.S. Army. 1994. *Army ammunition data sheets: Small caliber ammunition – FSC 1305*. Technical Manual TM 43-0001-27. Washington, DC: U.S. Government Printing Office. 1994-546-043:80742.

U.S. Army. 1998. *Propellant management guide*. Savanna, IL: U.S. Army Defense Ammunition Center, Logistics Review and Technical Assistance Office.

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. 1994. *SW846 Method 8330. Nitroaromatics and nitroamines by HPLC. USEPA Office of Solid Waste standard methods of analysis test methods for evaluating solid wastes, physical and chemical methods*. Update II (www.epa.gov/epaoswer/hazwaste/test/pdfs/8330.pdf).

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. 2000. *SW846 Method 8095. Explosives by gas chromatography*. Update IVB (www.epa.gov/epaoswer/hazwaste/test/pdfs/8095.pdf).

Walsh, M.E., and T.A. Ranney. 1998. Determination of nitroaromatic, nitramine, and nitrate ester explosives in water using solid-phase extraction and GC-ECD. Special Report 98-2. Hanover, NH: U.S. Army Cold Regions Research and Engineering Laboratory.

Walsh, M.E., C.M. Collins, C.H. Racine, T.F. Jenkins, A.B. Gelvin, and T.A. Ranney. 2001. *Sampling for explosives residues at Fort Greely, Alaska*. TR-01-15. Hanover, NH: U.S. Army Engineer Research and Development Center, Cold Regions Research and Engineering Laboratory.

Walsh, M.E., C.M. Collins, A.D. Hewitt, M.R. Walsh, T.F. Jenkins, J. Stark, A. Gelvin, T.S. Douglas, N. Perron, D. Lambert, R. Bailey, and K. Myers. 2004. *Range characterization studies at Donnelly Training Area, Alaska: 2001 and 2002*. ERDC/CRREL TR-04-3. Hanover, NH: U.S. Army Engineer Research and Development Center, Cold Regions Research and Engineering Laboratory.

Walsh, M.R., M.E. Walsh, C.A. Ramsey, and T.F. Jenkins. 2005a. *An examination of protocols for the collection of munitions-derived explosives residues on snow-covered ice*. ERDC/CRREL TR-05-08. Hanover, NH: U.S. Army Engineer Research and Development Center, Cold Regions Research and Engineering Laboratory.

Walsh, M.R., S. Taylor, M.E. Walsh, S.R. Bigl, K. Bjella, T.A. Douglas, A.B. Gelvin, D.J. Lambert, N.M. Perron, and S.P. Saari. 2005b. *Residues from live-fire detonations of 155-mm howitzer rounds*. ERDC/CRREL TR-05-14. Hanover, NH: U.S. Army Engineer Research and Development Center, Cold Regions Research and Engineering Laboratory.

Walsh, M.R., M.E. Walsh, C.M. Collins, S.P. Saari, J.E. Zufelt, A.B. Gelvin, and J.W. Hug. 2005c. *Energetic residues from live-fire detonations of 120-mm mortar rounds*. ERDC/CRREL TR-05-15. Hanover, NH: U.S. Army Engineer Research and Development Center, Cold Regions Research and Engineering Laboratory.

Walsh, M.R., M.E. Walsh, C.A. Ramsey, R.J. Rachow, J.E. Zufelt, C.M. Collins, A.B. Gelvin, N.M. Perron, and S.P. Saari. 2006. *Energetic residues deposition from 60-mm and 81-mm mortars*. ERDC/CRREL TR-06-10. Hanover, NH: U.S. Army Engineer Research and Development Center, Cold Regions Research and Engineering Laboratory.

Walsh, M.R. 2007. *Explosives residues resulting from the detonation of common military munitions: 2001-2006*. ERDC/CRREL TR-07-02. Hanover, NH: U.S. Army Engineer Research and Development Center, Cold Regions Research and Engineering Laboratory.

Appendix A: Munitions Data

Table A1 contains information relevant to the munitions used during the tests covered in this report. Table A2 contains data on the energetic load of the test components. Propellant loads for the analytes of concern are given in Table 1.

Table A1. Munitions data.

NSN	DODIC	Nomenclature	Lot No.	Drawn for tests
1305-01-470-2090	AA49	Cartridge, 9-mm, Ball, NATO, M882	WCC06A037-093	100
1305-01-155-5462	A059	Cartridge, 5.56-mm, Ball, M855	–	100
1305-01-156-7584*	A064	CTG, 5.56-mm, 4 Ball M855 / 1 TR M856, LNKD M27	LC-05E693L254	200
1305-00-892-2330	A143	Cartridge, 7.62-mm NATO Ball, M80 Linked	SPD05L001-002	100
1305-01-370-2594*	A557	CTG, Cal .50, 4 Ball M33 / 1 TR M17, LNKD M9	LC-05G614-137	200

Notes: Drawn from inventory, Camp Ethan Allen, and from USFPO-VT ASP, Camp Johnson, Colchester, VT
*Data from DA Form 581: Request for Issue and Turn-in of Ammunition (Doc. # W81EWF 70510500)

Table A2. Primary propellant constituents for fired rounds.

Munition	Propellant	Mass / Round (g)					
		NC	NG	DNT	DB	DP	Total**
Cartridge, 9-mm Ball, M882	WPR289	0.253	0.040*	0.00*	–	0.003	0.32
Cartridge, 5.56-mm Ball, M855	WC844	1.13	0.189*	–	0.101	0.025	1.69
Cartridge, 5.56-mm Tracer, M856	WC844	1.11	0.162	–	0.076	0.021	1.60
Cartridge, 7.62-mm Ball, M80	WC846	2.14	0.267*	0.004*	0.137	0.030	2.66
Cartridge, CAL .50 Ball, M33	WC860	12.0	1.48*	–	1.22	0.172	15.2
Cartridge, CAL .50 Tracer, M17	WC857	11.7	1.57	–	0.856	0.178	14.6

Sources: MIDAS Database, JEDMICS Database, WARP Database (Restricted access Web sites); US Army (1998).

*Values for ammunition test-fired confirmed by GCMS at CRREL

** Total propellant mass per round includes constituents not shown in table.

Appendix B: Sampling Data

Table B1 contains sampling data for the tests conducted at Camp Ethan Allen on 9 February. Table B2 contains sampling data for the 23 February Camp Ethan Allen tests.

Table B1. 9 February sampling data.

Decision unit	Rep #	# Increments	Sampler	Volume (Melt-mL)	mL / Incr	Area sampled (m^2)
9-mm Pistol						
Plume	1	50	MRW	940	18.8	0.50
	2	56	MRW	1060	18.9	0.56
	3	52	MRW	1060	20.4	0.52
OTP: 0-0.8 m	1	59	MEW	710	12.0	0.59
	2	53	MEW	740	14.0	0.53
10-m Transect	1	52	ST	720	13.8	0.52
	2	50	ST	660	13.2	0.50
	3	50	ST	660	13.2	0.50
20-m Transect	1	37	MRW	880	23.8	0.37
	2	40	MRW	760	19.0	0.40
	3	40	MRW	800	20.0	0.40
30-m Transect	1	44	MEW	700	15.9	0.44
FP-Mass	1	20	MRW	460	23.0	0.20
FP-Trays	1	20	MRW	440	22.0	0.20
				Mean:	17.7	
				Median:	18.9	
				Range:	11.7	
5.56-mm Automatic Rifle						
Plume	1	72	MRW	1480	20.6	0.72
	2	70	MRW	1400	20.0	0.70
	3	70	MRW	1330	19.0	0.70
OTP: 0-1 m	1	72	MEW	1010	14.0	0.72
	2	71	DJL	1380	19.4	0.71
10-m Transect	1	50	ST	660	13.2	0.50
	2	50	ST	700	14.0	0.50
	3	50	ST	800	16.0	0.50
20-m Transect	1	42	MEW	640	15.2	0.42
	2	40	MEW	600	15.0	0.40
	3	40	MEW	580	14.5	0.40

Decision unit	Rep #	# Increments	Sampler	Volume (Melt-mL)	mL / Incr	Area sampled (m²)
30-m Transect	1	46	ST	770	16.7	0.46
	2	50	ST	810	16.2	0.50
FP-Mass	1	27	MRW	600	22.2	0.27
FP-Trays	1	30	MRW	560	18.7	0.30
				Mean:	16.7	
				Median:	16.1	
				Range:	9.0	0.00
7.62-mm Machine Gun						
Plume	1	84	MRW	1980	23.6	0.84
	2	80	MRW	1820	22.8	0.80
	3	72	MRW	1580	21.9	0.72
OTP: 0-1 m	1	60	MEW	980	16.3	0.60
	2	66	MEW	1120	17.0	0.66
20-m Transect	1	25	MRW	600	24.0	0.25
	2	28	MRW	620	22.1	0.28
	3	30	MEW	500	16.7	0.30
30-m Transect	1	50	ST	660	13.2	0.50
	2	50	ST	600	12.0	0.50
	3	50	ST	580	11.6	0.50
40-m Transect	1	50	ST	660	13.2	0.50
	2	50	ST	620	12.4	0.50
FP-Mass*	1	40	MRW	900	22.5	0.40
FP-Trays	1	25	MRW	560	22.4	0.25
Background-1	1	50	MEW	600	12.0	0.50
Background-2	1	50	ST	720	14.4	0.50
				Mean:	18.1	
				Median:	17.0	
				Range:	12.4	

Table B2. 23 February sampling data.

Decision unit	Rep #	# Increments	Sampler	Volume (Melt-mL)	mL / Incr	Area sampled (m²)
12.7-mm (.50 cal) Machine gun 1						
Plume	1	84	MRW	4120	49.0	0.84
	2	84	MRW	3520	41.9	0.84
	3	84	MRW	3060	36.4	0.84
OTP: 0- 1.5 m	1	84	TH	2440	29.0	0.84
	2	79	MEW	2140	27.1	0.79

Decision unit	Rep #	# Increments	Sampler	Volume (Melt-mL)	mL / Incr	Area sampled (m^2)
Susan .50cal	1	37	MRW	1400	37.8	0.37
FP Mass	1	30	TH	920	30.7	0.30
				Mean:	36.0	
				Median:	36.4	
				Range:	22.0	
5.56-mm Machine gun						
Plume	1	68	MRW	2920	42.9	0.68
	2	68	MRW	2720	40.0	0.68
	3	68	MRW	2680	39.4	0.68
OTP: 0-1 m	1	49	MEW	1320	26.9	0.49
	2	52	TH	1720	33.1	0.52
10-m Transect	1	38	TH	1340	35.3	0.38
	2	30	MEW	1020	34.0	0.30
	3	28	MRW	920	32.9	0.28
20-m Transect	1	32	MEW	1140	35.6	0.32
	2	38	MEW	1400	36.8	0.38
	3	37	MEW	1360	36.8	0.37
30-m Transect	1	37	TH	1240	33.5	0.37
	2	36	TH	1540	42.8	0.36
	3	35	TH	1540	44.0	0.35
FP Mass	1	25	MRW	1040	41.6	0.25
Susan 5.56mm	1	50	MRW	1440	28.8	0.50
				Mean:	36.5	
				Median:	36.2	
				Range:	17.1	
Background 1	1(.50 cal)	27	MEW	660	24.4	0.27
Background 2	1(.50 cal)	41	TH	1160	28.3	0.41
12.7-mm (.50 cal) Machine gun 2						
Plume	1	79	MRW	3580	45.3	0.79
	2	78	MRW	3080	39.5	0.78
	3	78	MRW	3280	42.1	0.78
OTP: 0-1.5 m	1	77	MEW	2520	32.7	0.77
	2	80	TH	2760	34.5	0.80
FP Mass	1	32	MRW	1240	38.8	0.32
20-m Transect	1	30	TH	1330	44.3	0.30
	2	28	TH	1320	47.1	0.28
	3	27	TH	1200	44.4	0.27
30-m Transect	1	32	MRW	1340	41.9	0.32
	2	32	MRW	1220	38.1	0.32

Decision unit	Rep #	# Increments	Sampler	Volume (Melt-mL)	mL / Incr	Area sampled (m^2)
	3	32	MRW	1220	38.1	0.32
40-m Transect	1	37	MEW	1280	34.6	0.37
	2	41	MEW	1520	37.1	0.41
	3	51	TH	2220	43.5	0.51
				Mean:	40.1	
				Median:	39.5	
				Range:	14.4	
Background 1	1(5.56mm)	20	TH	740	37.0	0.20
Background 2	1(5.56mm)	20	MEW	700	35.0	0.20

Appendix C: Firing Point Test Analytical Results

Tables C1 through C3 contain the analytical results for the firing point tests. The results in Tables C1 and C3 are for NG, the major constituent of concern recovered from the samples. DNT in small quantities was recovered from only one test and is reported in Table C2.

Table C1. Analytical results (NG) for small-arms tests conducted on 9 February 2007.

Sample #	Decision unit	Volume (mL)	Snow		Soot	
			Melt conc. (mg/L)	Mass (ug)	Extract conc. (mg/L)	Mass (mg)
9-mm Pistol						
CEA07-1	Plume	940	0.19	182	540	5.4
CEA07-2		1060	0.14	153	740	7.4
CEA07-3		1060	0.11	120	830	8.3
CEA07-4	OTP	710	0.0042	3.0	520	0.052
CEA07-5		740	0.0029	2.1	300	0.030
CEA07-6	10-m Transect	720	<0.0005	—	22	0.0022
CEA07-7		660	<0.0005	—	37	0.0037
CEA07-8		660	<0.0005	—	10	0.0010
CEA07-9	20-m Transect	880	<0.0005	—	<0.05	—
CEA07-10		760	<0.0005	—	<0.05	—
CEA07-11		800	<0.0005	—	<0.05	—
CEA07-12		700	<0.0005	—	<0.05	—
CEA07-17	FP-Mass	460	0.026	12	260	0.26
CEA07-18	FP-Trays	440	0.011	4.7	920	0.092
CEA07-18-1	Blank-1	1000	<0.0005		<0.05	
CEA07-18-2	LCS-1	500	0.0019	1.0		
5.56-mm Automatic rifle						
CEA07-19	Plume	1480	0.0046	6.8	300	3.0
CEA07-20		1400	0.0050	7.0	280	2.8
CEA07-21		1330	0.0056	7.4	290	2.9
CEA07-22	OTP	1010	0.0010	1.0	180	0.18
CEA07-23		1380	0.0008	1.1	150	0.15
CEA07-24	10-m Transect	660	<0.0005	—	200	0.020
CEA07-25		700	<0.0005	—	180	0.018

Sample #	Decision unit	Volume (mL)	Snow		Soot	
			Melt conc. (mg/L)	Mass (ug)	Extract conc. (mg/L)	Mass (mg)
CEA07-26		800	<0.0005	—	180	0.018
CEA07-27	20-m Transect	640	<0.0005	—	<0.05	—
CEA07-28		600	<0.0005	—	<0.05	—
CEA07-29		580	<0.0005	—	<0.05	—
CEA07-30	30-m Transect	770	<0.0005	—	<0.05	—
CEA07-31		810	<0.0005	—	<0.05	—
CEA07-35	FP-Mass	600	<0.0005	—	63	0.0063
CEA07-36	FP-Trays	560	0.0043	2.4	670	0.67
CEA07-36-1	Blank-2	1000	<0.0005		<0.05	
CEA07-36-2	LCS-2	500	0.0019	1.0		
7.62-mm Machine gun						
CEA07-37	Plume	1980	0.0014	2.8	1000	1.0
CEA07-38		1820	0.0017	3.1	1500	1.5
CEA07-39		1580	0.0019	3.0	1200	1.2
CEA07-40	OTP: 0-3 m	980	<0.0005		150	0.015
CEA07-41		1120	<0.0005		164	0.016
CEA07-45	20-m Transect	600	<0.0005		<0.05	
CEA07-46		620	<0.0005		<0.05	
CEA07-47		500	<0.0005		<0.05	
CEA07-48	30-m Transect	660	<0.0005		<0.05	
CEA07-49		600	<0.0005		<0.05	
CEA07-50		580	<0.0005		<0.05	
CEA07-51	40-m Transect	660	<0.0005		<0.05	
CEA07-52		620	<0.0005		<0.05	
CEA07-53	FP-Mass	900	0.0006	0.6	600	0.060
CEA07-54	FP-Trays	560	<0.0005		126	0.013
CEA07-55	Background-1	600	<0.0005		<0.05	
CEA07-56	Background-2	720	<0.0005		<0.05	
CEA07-56-1	Blank-3	1000	<0.0005		<0.05	
CEA07-56-2	LCS-3	500	0.0019	1.0		

Table C2. Analytical results (DNT) for small-arms test conducted on 9 February 2007.

Sample #	Decision unit	Volume (mL)	Snow		Soot	
			Melt conc. (mg/L)	Mass (ug)	Extract conc. (mg/L)	Mass (mg)
7.62-mm Machine gun						
CEA07-37	Plume	1980	<0.0005	—	13	0.0013
CEA07-38		1820	<0.0005	—	16	0.0016
CEA07-39		1580	<0.0005	—	15	0.0015
CEA07-40	OTP: 0-3 m	980	<0.0005	—	<0.02	—
CEA07-41		1120	<0.0005	—	<0.02	—
CEA07-45	20-m Transect	600	<0.0005	—	<0.02	—
CEA07-46		620	<0.0005	—	<0.02	—
CEA07-47		500	<0.0005	—	<0.02	—

Table C3. Analytical results (NG) for small-arms tests conducted on 23 February 2007.

Sample #	Decision unit	Volume (mL)	Snow		Soot	
			Melt conc. (mg/L)	Mass (ug)	Extract conc. (mg/L)	Mass (mg)
12.7-mm Machine gun - 1						
CEA07-101	Plume	4120	0.27	11	14.2	1.4
CEA07-102		3520	0.44	15	20.1	2.0
CEA07-103		3060	0.41	13	27.1	2.7
CEA07-104	OTP	2440	<0.05	—	8.09	0.081
CEA07-105		2140	<0.05	—	4.1	0.041
CEA07-118	FP Mass	920	<0.05		0.24	0.0024
CEA07-118-1	Blank 1	1000	<0.05		<0.05	
CEA07-118-2	LCS 1	500	0.19	0.95		
5.56-mm Machine gun						
CEA07-119	Plume	2920	0.25	7.3	9.19	0.92
CEA07-120		2720	0.33	9.0	29.9	3.0
CEA07-121		2680	0.36	10	28.0	2.8
CEA07-122	OTP	1320	0.05	0.7	7.98	0.080
CEA07-123		1720	<0.05	—	7.68	0.077
CEA07-124	10-m Transect	1340	<0.05	—	0.68	0.0068
CEA07-125		1020	<0.05	—	0.55	0.0055
CEA07-126		920	<0.05	—	1.56	0.016
CEA07-127	20-m Transect	1140	<0.05	—	0.10	0.001
CEA07-128		1400	<0.05	—	<0.05	—

Sample #	Decision unit	Volume (mL)	Snow		Soot	
			Melt conc. (mg/L)	Mass (ug)	Extract conc. (mg/L)	Mass (mg)
CEA07-129		1360	<0.05	—	<0.05	—
CEA07-130	30-m Transect	1240	<0.05	—	<0.05	—
CEA07-131		1540	<0.05	—	<0.05	—
CEA07-132		1540	<0.05	—	0.12	0.001
CEA07-135	FP Mass	1040	<0.05	—	1.11	0.011
CEA07-136-1	Blank 2	1000	<0.05		<0.05	
CEA07-136-2	LCS 2		0.20			
CEA07-137	Background 1	660	<0.05	—	<0.05	—
CEA07-138	Background 2	1160	<0.05	—	<0.05	—
12.7-mm Machine gun - 2						
CEA07-139	Plume	3580	0.32	11	34.1	3.4
CEA07-140		3080	0.44	14	32.1	3.2
CEA07-141		3280	0.53	17	31.8	6.4
CEA07-142	OTP	2520	<0.05	—	2.11	0.021
CEA07-143		2760	<0.05	—	4.41	0.044
CEA07-144	FP Mass	1240	<0.05	—	<0.05	—
CEA07-145	20-m Transect	1330	<0.05	—	1.66	0.017
CEA07-146		1320	<0.05	—	1.15	0.012
CEA07-147		1200	<0.05	—	1.15	0.012
CEA07-148	30-m Transect	1340	<0.05	—	0.56	0.006
CEA07-149		1220	<0.05	—	0.33	0.003
CEA07-150		1220	<0.05	—	0.40	0.004
CEA07-151	40-m Transect	1280	<0.05	—	0.08	0.001
CEA07-152		1520	<0.05	—	0.15	0.002
CEA07-153		2220	<0.05	—	0.10	0.001
CEA07-154	Background 1	740	<0.05	—	<0.05	—
CEA07-155	Background 2	700	<0.05	—	<0.05	—
CEA07-155-1	Blank 3	1000	<0.05		<0.05	
CEA07-155-2	LCS 3		0.18			

All samples taken with 10- x 10- x 2-cm scoops

Soot: Filters extracted with 10 mL of AcN with the exception of CEA07-141, which had 20 mL

* 20 mL of acetonitrile used

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

Form Approved
OMB No. 0704-0188

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing this collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden to Department of Defense, Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports (0704-0188), 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302. Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number. **PLEASE DO NOT RETURN YOUR FORM TO THE ABOVE ADDRESS.**

1. REPORT DATE *(DD-MM-YYYY)*
September 2007

2. REPORT TYPE
Technical Report

3. DATES COVERED *(From - To)*

4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE
Propellant Residues Deposition from Small Arms Munitions

5a. CONTRACT NUMBER

5b. GRANT NUMBER

5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER

6. AUTHOR(S)
Michael R. Walsh, Marianne E. Walsh, Susan R. Bigl,
Nancy M. Perron, Dennis J. Lambert, and Alan D. Hewitt

5d. PROJECT NUMBER

5e. TASK NUMBER

5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER

7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)
U.S. Army Engineer Research and Development Center
Cold Regions Research and Engineering Laboratory
72 Lyme Road
Hanover, NH 03755-1290

8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER
ERDC/CRREL TR-07-17

9. SPONSORING / MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)
Strategic Environmental Research and Development Program (SERDP)
Arlington, Virginia 22203

10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)

11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)

12. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY STATEMENT
Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.

Available from NTIS, Springfield, Virginia 22161.

13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES

14. ABSTRACT

Military live-fire training missions utilize a variety of energetic materials that are never completely consumed during firing. In February 2007, the U.S. Army Cold Regions Research and Engineering Laboratory teamed with the Vermont National Guard at Camp Ethan Allen to conduct tests to determine the propellant residues deposition related to the firing of small arms. Samples were collected from the snow surface at the firing points for 5.56-, 7.62-, 9-, and 12.7-mm (0.50-cal.) weapons, as well as from areas up to 40 m downrange. Six tests were conducted utilizing five weapon systems. Samples were analyzed to derive an estimate of the mass of unreacted energetics deposited from each activity. The areas sampled at the 5.56-mm firing points contained 1.8 and 1.3 mg NG (1.1% and 0.80% original mass) per round, the 7.62-mm firing point contained 1.5 mg NG and 0.0018-mg DNT (0.56% and 0.048% original mass) per round, the 9-mm firing point contained 2.1-mg NG (5.4% original mass) per round, and the 12.7-mm firing points averaged 11 mg NG (0.73% original mass) per round. These results indicate that although consumption rates for this class of ammunition are high, accumulation of energetic residues should be considered for range sustainment programs.

15. SUBJECT TERMS
Deposition
Energetics
Firing points
Munitions
NG
Nitroglycerin
Propellants
Residues
Small arms
Snow

16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:			**17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT**	**18. NUMBER OF PAGES**	**19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON**
a. REPORT	**b. ABSTRACT**	**c. THIS PAGE**			**19b. TELEPHONE NUMBER** *(include area code)*
U	U	U	U	45	

Standard Form 298 (Rev. 8-98)
Prescribed by ANSI Std. 239.18